1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED	STATES	DISTRICT	COURT
NORTHERI	N DISTRI	ICT OF CA	LIFORNIA

JOSEPH MICHAEL ANDREWS, Petitioner,

v.

PATRICK COVELLO, Warden, Respondent.

Case No. 24-cv-00587 NC (PR)

## ORDER OF TRANSFER

Petitioner, a state prisoner at the Mule Creek State Prison in Ione, which is in Amador County, has filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. See Dkt. No. 1. Petitioner challenges his state conviction out of Los Angeles County. Id. at 1.

Venue for a habeas action is proper in either the district of confinement or the district of conviction. See 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d). Here, Petitioner is currently confined in Amador County, which lies within the venue of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California, see 28 U.S.C. § 84(b), but was convicted in Los Angeles County, which lies within the venue of the Western Division for the Central District of

California, *id.* § 84(c)(2). Petitions challenging a conviction or sentence are preferably heard in the district of conviction. *See* Habeas L.R. 2254-3(b)(1); *Laue v. Nelson*, 279 F. Supp. 265, 266 (N.D. Cal. 1968). Therefore, venue properly lies in the Central District and not in this one. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

Accordingly, this case is **TRANSFERRED** to the United States District Court for

Accordingly, this case is **TRANSFERRED** to the United States District Court for the Central District of California, Western Division. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a). The Clerk shall terminate all pending motions and transfer the entire file to the Western Division for the Central District of California.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: February 1, 2024

NATHANAEL M. COUSINS United States Magistrate Judge

Order of Transfer PRO-SE\NC\HC 2023\00587Andrews\_transfer(CD)